

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                      | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/023,559                           | 12/18/2001           | Kalle Asikainen      | 460-010760-US(PAR)      | 460-010760-US(PAR) 2670 |  |
| 2512 75                              | 2512 7590 04/04/2006 |                      |                         | EXAMINER                |  |
| PERMAN & GREEN                       |                      |                      | JAMAL, ALEXANDER        |                         |  |
| 425 POST ROAD<br>FAIRFIELD, CT 06824 |                      |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
|                                      |                      | •                    | 2614                    |                         |  |
|                                      |                      |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006 |                         |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No. | Applicant(s)     |  |  |  |  |
|--|-----------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/023,559      | ASIKAINEN ET AL. |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner        | Art Unit         |  |  |  |  |
|  | Alexander Jamal | 2843             |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |                 |                  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |                 |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |                 |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ja   | nuary 2006.     |                  |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | <u> </u>        |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar  | , ·             |                  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |                 |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |                 |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |                 |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |                 |                  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>  |                 |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |                 |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |                 |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  |                 |                  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 25343 2614

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

- 1. Based upon the submitted amendment (1-23-2006), the examiner notes that claims 11-21 have been added in a previous amendment (filed 11-21-2005) and claims 1-14,16-18 have been amended (with the current amendment) and claims 19-21 have been added.
- 2. Examiner withdraws all rejections from the previous office action and submits a new, non-final set of rejections.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6,9-11,13-17,19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art (specification pages 1,2), and further in view of Opas (5901344).

As per claim 1, applicant's admitted prior art discloses a direct conversion receiver for carrier modulated signals. The receiver performing the method of mixing (specification pages 1,2) (page 1 lines 25-30) local oscillator signals to generate baseband signals which are then filtered via capacitors (page 2 lines 30-35) to filter out the DC

Art Unit: 2648

component. It further discloses that digital modulation is used and that modulation may comprise ASK,FSK or PSK (page 1 lines 5-15). However, applicant' admitted prior art does not disclose offsetting the local oscillator frequency by an offset close to the difference between the carrier and a null frequency in order to center the notch at the zero frequency.

Opas teaches a direct conversion receiver (ABSTRACT). Opas teaches to offset the local oscillator frequency by an offset amount so that a minimal amount of spectral signal is present in the null (notch) of the receiver path (Col 4 lines 1-15,55-67). Opas teaches that this will reduce signal distortion on the modulated signal. Examiner notes that the signaling rate (in addition to the carrier and LO error) are used to offset the received signal such that the receiver DC (null) falls on a spectral null of the received data signal. The examiner reads the modulation rate (whether it is a chip rate or data rate) of applicant's system as analogous to signaling rate of Opas's's system because they are each the cause of the spectral side-lobes (the noise-like spectrum and discreet line spectra) in each respective system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application that the prior art receiver disclosed by applicant could offset the local oscillator frequency for the purpose of reducing the recovered signal distortion.

As per claim 2, claim rejected for same reasons as claim 1 rejection. Applicant's admitted prior art (specification page 2) discloses that the received signal is split into inphase and quadrature signals that are processed with a signal from an oscillator via

Art Unit: 276478) Z614

mixing means (a first and second mixer, one for the In-phase, and one for the quadrature) (page 1 line 25 to page 2 line 10). Each mixed signal is then filtered with the notch filters (a first and second filter) (page 2 lines 30-37), and each signal is then demodulated and then DC filtered via capacitors. The oscillator in Opas is offset by any frequency which will put the zero frequency at a notch of the frequency sideband. Examiner notes that the signaling rate or any multiple of it may be used to shift the spectral null to the receiver null.

As per claims 9,11, claims are rejected as a method performed by the device of the claim 2 rejection.

As per claims 13,19 claims are rejected for the same reasons as the claim 1,2 rejections.

As per claims 3,5,10,14,15,16,20,21, applicant's specification discloses the use of a third and fourth low pass filter, one for the In-phase signal and one for the quadrature, and further discloses that the notch filters at the output of the mixers may comprise capacitors (high pass filters) (page 2 lines 1-10,30-37).

As per claims 4,6,17, claims are rejected for same reasons as claim 2.

5. Claims 7,8,12,18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art (specification pages 1,2) and Opas (5901344), and further in view of Rapeli (6510313).

conversion receiver is used in a GPS system.

As per claim 7, applicant's admitted prior art in view of Opas discloses claim 7 as per the rejections of claims 1-6 above. However they do not disclose that the direct

Rapeli discloses that a CDMA direct conversion receiver system can be implemented as a GPS system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application that the direct conversion receiver may be used as a GPS receiver for the reason that the that the direct conversion receiver can successfully receive the carrier modulated CDMA signal used in the GPS system.

As per claims 12,18, claims are rejected for the same reasons as the claim 7,3,5,10 rejections.

As per claim 8, claim rejected for same reasons as claims 3,5,10.

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 571-272-7498. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 10/023,559

Page 6

Art Unit: 2614

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications and 571-273-8300 for After Final communications.

AJ March 22, 2006

Clef.